Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

MWD

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

City of Amherst WWTP, located northeast of Amherst approximately 0.5 mile east and 0.75 mile north of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 37 and First Street, Lamb County

Type of Operation:

Wastewater treatment facility

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: February 20, 2015

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$15,939

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$3,187 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$0 Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$12,752

Name of SEP: Texas Association of Resources Conservation and Development

Areas, Inc. (Third-Party Pre-Approved)

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A **Applicable Penalty Policy:** April 2014

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: June 12, 2014

Date(s) of NOE(s): July 11, 2014

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to employ or contract one or more licensed wastewater treatment facility operators or wastewater system operations companies holding a valid license or registration. Specifically, two unlicensed operators were operating the Facility three to four days per week [Tex. Water Code § 26.0301(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Special Provisions No. 2].
- 2. Failed to timely submit the annual sludge reports for the monitoring periods ending July 31, 2011; July 31, 2012; and July 31, 2013 by September 1 of each year [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and (17) and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Sludge Provisions].
- 3. Failed to collect the required effluent samples and measurements after the final treatment unit. Specifically, the Respondent has been collecting effluent samples and measurements following the second effluent holding pond since July 19, 2012, which is not the final treatment unit [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 305.125(1) and 319.5(a) and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. B].
- 4. Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits for pH [Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. A]
- 5. Failed to maintain a minimum freeboard of two feet in accordance with 30 Texas Administrative Code ch. 217, Design Criteria for Domestic Wastewater Systems. Specifically, a minimum freeboard of two feet was not being maintained in the Facility's effluent holding ponds [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 217.207(d)(5) and 305.125(1) and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Special Provisions No. 7].
- 6. Failed to properly conduct and report groundwater monitoring. Specifically, groundwater sampling records indicated that groundwater samples were collected on June 7, 2011; October 9, 2012; August 29, 2013; and February 25, 2014, which reflected that the groundwater wells were not being sampled every six months as required. In addition, the groundwater well water levels were not included as part of the collected data. Additionally, none of this data was submitted to the TCEQ Lubbock Regional Office as required [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Special Provisions No. 19].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent has implemented the following:

- a. By June 30, 2014, began maintaining a minimum of two feet of freeboard in the effluent holding ponds.
- b. By July 3, 2014:
- i. Employed a licensed wastewater treatment facility operator holding a valid Class C license to operate the Facility at least five days per week;
- ii. Submitted the annual sludge reports for the monitoring periods ending July 31, 2011; July 31, 2012; and July 31, 2013; and
- iii. Began collecting the required effluent samples and measurements following the final treatment unit.
- c. By August 15, 2014, updated operational guidance and conducted employee training to ensure that:
- i. All reporting procedures are properly accomplished for annual sludge reports; and
- ii. All groundwater monitoring and reporting is conducted.

Technical Requirements:

- 1. The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). (See SEP Attachment A)
- 2. The Order will also require within 90 days, the Respondent to submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limits of TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current effluent monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limits.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Christopher Bost, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 1, MC 169, (512) 239-4575; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: Stuart Beckley, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-3565

Respondent: The Honorable Joe A. Miller, Mayor, City of Amherst, P.O. Box 560,

Amherst, Texas 79312-0560

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2014-1058-MWD-E SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	City of Amherst
Penalty Amount:	Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Two Dollars (\$12,752)
SEP Offset Amount:	Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Two Dollars (\$12,752)
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Pre-Approved SEP
Third-Party Administrator:	Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.
Project Name:	Cleanup of Unauthorized Trash Dumpsites
Location of SEP:	Lamb County - Brazos River Basin, Ogallala Aquifer

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

a. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to the **Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")** for the *Cleanup of Unauthorized Trash Dumpsites*. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, the SEP Offset Amount will be used to coordinate with city and county government officials and private entities ("Partner Entities") to clean up sites where trash, tires, or other materials have been illegally disposed of (the "Project").

The Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that collected debris and waste is properly transported to and disposed of at an authorized disposal facility. If a licensed hauler is needed for tires or other waste collected from sites, the Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that only properly licensed haulers are used for transport and disposal of tires and other waste. The SEP will be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of the Project, including but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not able to be spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

b. Environmental Benefit

This SEP shall rid communities of the dangers and health threats associated with non-regulated dumping and will provide removal of waste that contaminates air, soil, and water, and harbors disease-carrying animals and insects.

c. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

The Respondent shall begin making twelve monthly contributions to the Third Party Administrator on this payment schedule: the first monthly payment of \$1,752 followed by eleven payments of \$1,000 each, totaling \$12,752. The first installment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. Subsequent payments shall be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. A copy of this Agreed Order shall be included each month with the donation. The SEP contributions shall be made payable to "Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc." and mailed to the address below:

Texas Association of RC&D Areas, Inc. Attn.: Jerry Pearce, Executive Director P.O. Box 2533 Victoria, Texas 77902

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the Enforcement SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

> Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount, as described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the Project, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP; shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;" and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP and/or project, made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) Assigned 14-Jul-2014 PCW 25-Jul-2014 Screening 15-Jul-2014 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent City of Amherst Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101607687 Facility/Site Region 2-Lubbock Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 6 Enf./Case ID No. 49008 Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit Yes Docket No. 2014-1058-MWD-E Media Program(s) Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Christopher Bost Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 1 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$25,000 **Penalty Calculation Section** TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$14,750 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. 20.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 **Compliance History** \$2,950 Enhancement for one order with denial. Notes 0.0% Enhancement Culpability No Subtotal 4 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments -\$1,761 \$0 Subtotal 6 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$3,528 Estimated Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 Final Subtotal \$15,939 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$15,939 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$15,939

Reduction Adjustment

-\$3,187

\$12,752

DEFERRAL

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Respondent City of Amherst Case ID No. 49008 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101607687 Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Christopher Bost

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	ory Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Her	e Adjust.	
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%	
	Other written NOVs	0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	1	20%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%	
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
Auuis	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
		ase Enter Yes or No	3	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	- A.
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment Perc	centage (Sub	ototal 2)	
epeat Violator ((Subtotal 3)			
No	Adjustment Perd	entage (Sub	total 3)	
ompliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Perc	entage (Sub	total 7)	
ompliance Histo	ory Summary			
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one order with denial.			
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	ubtotals 2,	3, & 7) [
ai Compliance I	History Adjustment Final Adjustment Percenta			

	ening Date		Docket No. 2014-1058-NWD-E	PCW
	espondent ase ID No.	City of Amherst		Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Ref	1818 1818 1819 1819 1818 1818 1819 1819			TOW NEVISION MATCH 20, 2014
	a [Statute]	Water Quality Christopher Bost		
BOLD STANKEN KAN BOND BONDEN BODDE NADAN BODDE DANS DE DANS DE BOND DE	ition Number	1		
	Rule Cite(s)		6.0301(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and ironmental Quality ("TCEQ") Permit No. WQ0010118 Special Provisions No. 2	
Violatio	n Description	operators or wastewa registration, as docum	ontract one or more licensed wastewater treatment ater system operations companies holding a valid lice nented during an investigation conducted on June 12	ense or 2, 2014.
		Specifically, two unlice	nsed operators were operating the Facility three to f per week.	our days
			Base Company of the Base	Penalty \$25,000
>> Environme	ntal, Proper	ty and Human He	alth Matrix	
OR -	Release Actual	Hai Major Mode		
	Potential	X	Percent 15.0%	
>>Programma				
	Falsification	Major Mode	rate Minor Percent 0.0%	
Matrix Notes			or could be exposed to pollutants which would exce th or environmental receptors as a result of the viola	
			Adjustment	\$21,250
	0.500.000000000000000000000000000000000	N-0-2-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-		\$3,750
Violation Even	ts			
	Number of	Violation Events 1	Number of violation d	ays
1000		daily weekly		
Constitution of the Consti	mark only one with an x	monthly > quarterly semiannual	Violation Base	Penalty \$3,750
NAMES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P		annual single event		
	One mor	나는 나는 것이 가득하다. 이번 사이를 하는 사이를 하는 사이를 하는 것이 되었다. 그 사이를 하는 것이 되었다.	nded, from the investigation date (June 12, 2014) to apliance date (July 3, 2014).	the
Good Faith Eff	orts to Com	ply 2	5.0% R	eduction \$937
		Before NC Extraordinary		
***************************************		, <u> </u>		
THE		N/A Notes The Re	(mark with x) 	And the second s
1. de			Violation S	Subtotal \$2,813
Economic Ben	efit (ER) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	
Economic och		ted EB Amount	\$2,175 Violation Final Penal	
A Material and Architecture of a state of the control of the contr	±Junia,	1	is violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	
		111		mmes) \$3,303

		conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	City of Amhers	:t				-8/3/46/44/46/1999-8-1-1-1-1-1-100-100	
Case ID No.							
eg. Ent. Reference No.							
the second secon	Water Quality					***************************************	Years of
						Percent Interest	
Violation No.	. 1				ago - Physica a service (1) or 1 con (1) (1)		Depreciation
						5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							<u>lettanaksi ner e</u>
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	and the second			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	H						
Natas for DELAYED and							
Notes for DELAYED costs							
Notes for DELAYED costs							
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterii	ng item (except	for one-time avoid	ded costs)
	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before		ng item (except	for one-time avoid	
Avoided Costs	\$ ANNUAL \$26,000	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel				0.00			\$0 \$1,571
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$75	\$0 \$1,496	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Ispection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00 0.06 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0	\$0 \$1,496 \$0	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment				0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,496 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,496 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$26,000	12-Jun-2014	3-Jul-2014	0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,496 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$26,000 The avoided	12-Jun-2014	3-Jul-2014	0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,496 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$26,000 The avoided	12-Jun-2014	3-Jul-2014	0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,496 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	\$26,000 The avoided	12-Jun-2014 12-Jun-2014 cost includes the treatment facility	3-Jul-2014 estimated diffo	0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$1,496 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 al salary of a license treatment facility of	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	\$26,000 The avoided	12-Jun-2014 12-Jun-2014 cost includes the treatment facility	3-Jul-2014 estimated diffo	0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 between the annuensed wastewater	\$0 \$1,496 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 al salary of a license treatment facility of	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	\$26,000 The avoided	12-Jun-2014 12-Jun-2014 cost includes the treatment facility	3-Jul-2014 estimated diffo	0.00 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$75 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 between the annuensed wastewater	\$0 \$1,496 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 al salary of a license treatment facility of	\$0 \$1,571 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

\$825

Ent. Reference No. Media	RN10160768 Water Quality					_	Years of
Violation No.	2	,				Percent Interest	vepreciatio
Item Description		Date Required	Final Date	Yrs Ir	iterest Saved	5.0 Onetime Costs	EB Amoun
Delayed Costs							
Equipment Buildings				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction Land				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System	\$150	1-Sep-2011	3-Jul-2014	2.84	\$21	n/a ·	\$21
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal	\$150	7-Jun-2011	15-Aug-2014	3.19 0.00	\$24 \$0	n/a n/a	\$24 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	The second conduct en sludge rep with the Fa	ng July 31, 2011; report was due delayed cost inclue ployee training to orts and to ensure icility's wastewater	July 31, 2012; a e. Final date is the des the estimate ensure that all that all grounds permit. The da date is the	and July 3: the date the damount reporting water mon ate require ne date of	to update the procedures are litering and reported to the litering and reported to the first data compliance.	sludge reports for the date of	the first sludg I guidance an ned for annua in accordance e and the fina
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel stion/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] IE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The second conduct en sludge rep with the Fa	ng July 31, 2011; report was due delayed cost inclue ployee training to orts and to ensure icility's wastewater	July 31, 2012; a e. Final date is the des the estimate ensure that all that all grounds permit. The da date is the	and July 3: the date the date the date the date of the	to update the procedures are itoring and rep d is the first da compliance. item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	required is the date orts were received. Facility's operational properly accomplishorting is conducted attended of the orthogonal state of noncompliance of the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthog	the first sludged light of the first sludged light sludged light of the first sludged light of the first sludged light of the first sludged light slud
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ction/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] NE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs	The second conduct en sludge rep with the Fa	ng July 31, 2011; report was due delayed cost inclus sployee training to orts and to ensure scility's wastewater LIZE [1] avoided	July 31, 2012; a e. Final date is the des the estimate ensure that all that all grounds permit. The da date is the	and July 3: the date the date the date of	to update the procedures are iterated as the first decompliance. item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	required is the date orts were received. Facility's operational properly accomplish orting is conducted ate of noncompliance for one-time avoidable in the solution of the so	the first sludg I guidance an ned for annual in accordance and the final ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel stion/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] HE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs	The second conduct en sludge rep with the Fa	ng July 31, 2011; report was due delayed cost inclue ployee training to orts and to ensure icility's wastewater	July 31, 2012; a e. Final date is the des the estimate ensure that all that all grounds permit. The da date is the	and July 3: the date the date the date the date of the	to update the procedures are itoring and rep d is the first da compliance. item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	required is the date orts were received. Facility's operational properly accomplishorting is conducted attended of the orthogonal state of noncompliance of the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthog	the first sludged and the first sludged and the final sludged and the final sludged slugged sl
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ction/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] NE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	The second conduct en sludge repo with the Fa	ng July 31, 2011; report was due delayed cost incluingloyee training to orts and to ensure icility's wastewater LIZE [1] avoided \$300	July 31, 2012; a Enal date is it les the estimate ensure that all that all grounds permit. The da date is th costs before	and July 3: the date the damount reporting water mon the requirement of the control of the contr	to update the procedures are itoring and reput itoring are itoring and reput itoring	required is the date orts were received. Facility's operational properly accomplishorting is conducted attended of the orthogonal state of noncompliance of the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solved in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthog	the first sludged light of the first sludged light sludged light of the first sludged light of the first sludged light of the first sludged light slud
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel stion/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] HE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed) Notes for AVOIDED costs	The second conduct en sludge report with the Fa	ng July 31, 2011; report was due delayed cost incluingloyee training to orts and to ensure icility's wastewater LIZE [1] avoided \$300	July 31, 2012; a Enal date is it es the estimate ensure that all that all groundy permit. The da date is tr costs before	and July 3: the date the damount reporting water mon the requirement of the control of the contr	to update the procedures are itoring and reput itoring are itoring and reput itoring	required is the date orts were received. Facility's operational properly accomplishorting is conducted attended of the orthogonal state of noncompliance of the orthogonal state of solution is solution in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solven in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solven in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solven in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solven in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solven in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solven in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solven in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state of solution is solven in the orthogonal state of solution in the orthogonal state	the first sludg If guidance and the final sound for annual in accordance and the final sound for a so

Screening Date	Si .	Docket No. 2014-1058-MWD-E	PCW
1	City of Amherst		Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			
Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	S		
Rule Cite(s)		e SS 305 125/1) and 210 E/n) and TCEO Beauty No.	
	30 Tex. Admin. Cod	e §§ 305.125(1) and 319.5(a) and TCEQ Permit No. luent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. B	
vwwww			<u> </u>
		uired effluent samples and measurements after the fi	
Violation Description		ented during an investigation conducted on June 12, nt has been collecting effluent samples and measurer	
	following the second efflue	ent holding pond since July 19, 2012, which is not the	final
		treatment unit.	
		Base Pe	nalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human Healt	h Matrix	A.,
cuvironniental, Flupe	rty and numan neart Harm	APPENDING	:
Release	Major Moderate	<u>Minor</u>	
OR Actua			
Potentia		x Percent 3.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			company some or
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor Minor	:
		Percent 0.0%	
		or could be exposed to insignificant amounts of polluta	
Notes that would n		otective of human health or environmental receptors	as a
	res	sult of the violation.	
		Adjustment \$24	4,250
		Adjustinent \$2.	1,230]
			\$750
Violation Events			
Tioladoli Ctellis			
Number of	Violation Events 1	21 Number of violation days	
The state of the s	•		
36.56	daily		
	weekly		
mark only one	monthly guarterly	Violation Base Per	nalty \$750
with an x	semiannual	Violation base Fer	\$730
	annual		
	single event x		
			· ·
	One cine	le event is recommended.	8880 1 juli
	One sing	ic eventablecommentee	
L. Carlotte and Ca		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	
Good Faith Efforts to Com			ction \$187
de constant	Before NOE/NO	NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary		, V
	Ordinary x		
· ·	N/A	(mark with x)	
	Notes The Respo	ondent returned to compliance by July 3, 2014	
	notes me nespo		
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	L		
CTTHE		Violation Sub	total \$563
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit Tes	•
realities beliefit (ED) [0]	นแว งเบเสนบที	Statutory Limit les	· ·
Estimat	ted EB Amount	\$5 Violation Final Penalty 1	otal \$713
TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	man f =	delation Plant Assessed Provide Atlanta	
4,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	This v	riolation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	nits) \$713

	Ed	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	City of Amhers	t	2.772222222222222222222222222222222222			-	2
Case ID No.						1000	
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							Years of
media Violation No.	Water Quality				25	Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	3					5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
						4 1	
Delayed Costs				a			
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0 \$0	50 n/a	\$0 \$0
Land				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System Training/Sampling	\$50	19-Jul-2012	3-Jul-2014	1.96	\$5 \$5	n/a	\$5
Remediation/Disposal	330	19-301-2012	J-Jul 2017	0.00		n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	-			0.00		n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	-	İ		0.00		n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs			measurements	followi		the date the Respor uent holding pond.	
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enteri	ng item (except	for one-time avoi	ded costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00		\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00		\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00		\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00		\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		1	L	0.00	\$0	50	<u> \$0 </u>
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
				P(132303)			
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$50			TOTAL		\$5

Screening Date		Docket	No. 2014-1058-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent Case ID No.				Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Media [Statute]	Water Quality			***************************************
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number		Y		
Rule Cite(s)	Tex. Water Code § 26	6.121(a)(1), 30 Tex. Adr 8001, Effluent Limitations	min. Code § 305-125(1), and T s and Monitoring Requirements	CEQ No. A
Violation Description			mits, as documented during ar and shown in the attached tabl	
			Base Pe	enalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human He	alth Matrix	the property of the second	
	Hari	m		mmmm.
Release OR Actual		rate Minor		
Potential			Percent 5.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	- Farin Lafe Marie a - Trea Constitution			***************************************
Falsification	Major Moder	rate Minor		
			Percent 0.0%	
Matrix Human health Notes		been exposed to insigni ective levels as a result o	ficant amounts of pollutants whof the violation.	nich do
	•			
			Adjustment \$	23,750
	in the meeting particle supplies well a			\$1,250
20.0				<u> </u>
Violation Events	The society of the second second			
	Violation Events 4		9 Number of violation day	s
10.00 mm	daily			
6 -	weekly			
mark only one	monthly guarterly x		Violation Base Pe	enalty \$5,000
with an x	semiannual		Violation base Pe	\$3,000
	annual			
	single event			
	Four qua	rterly events are recomr	mended.	
	. 9990000000			
Good Faith Efforts to Com	Before NO	D.0% E/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/S		uction \$0
	Extraordinary			
***************************************	Ordinary N/A x	(mark with x)		
acceptable of the second of th	essurem			
00000	Notes Ine Re	spondent does not meet: this violat	the good faith criteria for sion.	*
			Violation Sul	btotal \$5,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit Te	st
	ted EB Amount	\$1,196	Violation Final Penalty	
Estilla	***************************************			
	Th	is violation Final Asse	ssed Penalty (adjusted for li	mits) \$6,000

	E	conomic I	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	•	st		****************			>
Case ID No.						and the state of t	Charles to gra-
leg. Ent. Reference No.					**		
	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	4			568645 6 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$					în.	
Delayed Costs						4	
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	r n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a:	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	i n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$10.000	17-Jan-2013	9-Jun-2015	2.39	\$1,196	n/a	\$1,196
Notes for DELAYED costs	corrective a	ctions. Date requ	ired is the first	date of compl	noncompliance. I lance.	e of non-compliance Final date is the esti	mated date of
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before			for one-time avoi	
Disposal				0.00		\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00		\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)			L	0.00	<u>l \$0</u>	1 30	1 30
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$10,000			TOTAL		\$1,196

Screening Date Respondent	15-Jul-2014 City of Amherst	Docket No. 2014-1058-MWD-E	PCW Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator Violation Number	RN101607687 Water Quality Christopher Bost		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. C	ode §§ 217.207(d)(5) and 305.125(1) and TCEQ Permit N WQ0010118001, Special Provisions No. 7	0,
Violation Description	Administrative Code documented during	a minimum freeboard of two feet in accordance with 30 Te ch. 217, Design Criteria for Domestic Wastewater System g an investigation conducted on June 12, 2014. Specificall d of two feet was not being maintained in the Facility's efflo holding ponds.	s, as v, a
		Base Pe	nalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Prope	tv and Human H		
	Ha	arm	
Release OR Actual		derate Minor	
Potentia		X Percent 3.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Mod	derate Minor	
L	<u> </u>	Percent 0.0%	
Matrix Human heal	th or the environment	will or could be exposed to insignificant amounts of polluta	ants
Notes that would n	ot exceed levels that a	are protective of human health or environmental receptors result of the violation.	as a
· L			
		Adjustment \$2	4,250
			\$750
Violation Events			
Number of	Violation Events	18 Number of violation days	
		The state of the s	
	dally weekly		
mark only one	monthly		
with an x	quarterly semiannual	Violation Base Pe	nalty \$750
	annual		
	single event	X	
	One	e single event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com		25.0% Redu OE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	ction \$187
	Extraordinary		
	Ordinary N/A	(mark with x)	
			•
	Notes	e Respondent returned to compliance by June 30, 2014.	
	<u>Li</u>		
		Violation Sub-	total \$563
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit Tes	it
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$2 Violation Final Penalty 1	Total \$713
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	
			nits) \$713

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	City of Amher	rst		STEEL ST		200000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Case ID No.	8 .						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		7			14 (14)		
	ă .				1989 49		
	Water Quality	<u>'</u>			in the state of	Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	5						Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
2.C.I.I 2.23(1)PUAII	HO Contained to	•					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment		T T		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	. n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	11/8	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	12-Jun-2014	30-Jun-2014	0.05	\$2	n/a	\$2
			commuce amou		egin maintaining a		
Notes for DELAYED costs					88886.06.486	gation. Final date is	
Avoided Costs	in the efflu	ent holding ponds		is the compli- enterin	ance. ig item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid	s the date of
Avoided Costs Disposal	In the efflu	ent holding ponds		is the compli- enterin 0.00	ance. Ig item (except f \$0	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0	the date of led costs)
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	in the efflu	ent holding ponds		is the compliant of the compliant of the compliant of the complex	ance. og item (except f \$0 \$0	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	the date of section se
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel aspection/Reporting/Sampling	In the efflu	ent holding ponds		is the compliant of the compliant of the compliant of the complex	ance. 19 item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	In the efflu	ent holding ponds		os the compliant of the compliant of the compliant of the complex	ance. 19 item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	In the efflu	ent holding ponds		onterior 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ance. 19 item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s the date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	In the efflu	ent holding ponds	costs before	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ance. ng item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	s the date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	In the efflu	ent holding ponds		onterior 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ance. 19 item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	s the date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	In the efflu	ent holding ponds	costs before	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ance. ng item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	s the date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	In the efflu	ent holding ponds	costs before	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ance. ng item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	s the date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	In the efflu	ent holding ponds	costs before	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ance. ng item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	s the date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	In the efflu	ent holding ponds	costs before	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ance. ng item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	s the date of
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	In the efflu	ent holding ponds	costs before	nterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ance. ng item (except f	gation. Final date is for one-time avoid	s the date of

Screening Date		Dock	et No. 2014-1058-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	City of Amherst	***:		Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Reg. Ent. Reference No.				PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Media [Statute]			the second second	
Enf. Coordinator	Christopher Bost			
Violation Number	the state of the s			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. 0	Code § 305.125(1) and TCE Provisions F	Q Permit No. WQ0010118001, S No. 19	pecial
	Failed to prope	rdy conduct and report arou	ndwater monitoring, as documer	
	during an inves	itigation conducted on June	12, 2014. Specifically, groundw	ater
	sampling record	ds indicated that groundwat	er samples were collected on Jur	ne 7.
Violation Description	that the groundy	, 2012, August 29, 2013, a vater wells were not being s	nd February 25, 2014, which refl ampled every six months as requ	ected
	In addition, the	groundwater well water le	vels were not included as part of	the
	collected data. A	Additionally, none of this dat Regional Office a	a was submitted to the TCEQ Lu	bbock
	<u></u>	regional Office a	a required.	
			Base Po	enalty \$25,000
The state of the s	n ji kanjiganya	and a series place that the control of the		7-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
>> Environmental, Proper		i Health Matrix Harm		
Release	Major M	Moderate Minor		
OR Actual				***************************************
Potential	<u> </u>	X	Percent 5.0%	The second secon
>>Programmatic Matrix			and the second s	
Falsification	Major N	Moderate Minor		
			Percent 0.0%	
Human health	or the environmer	it will or could be exposed to	o significant amounts of pollutant	s that
Matrix would not exce	eed levels that are	protective of human health	or environmental receptors as a	result
		of the violation.		
			Adjustment \$2	23,750
			Aejustinent pz	.5,750]
				\$1,250
Violation Events				
Nb	//			
Number of V	/iolation Events	3	Number of violation days	•
	daily			
	weekly			
mark only one	monthly	X	Violation Base De	14-
with an x	semiannual		Violation Base Pe	nalty \$3,750
	annual			
Township Market State St	single event			······································
Three	e quarterly events	are recommended, one for ϵ	each missed sampling event.	
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	Contractive of the Contractive o	10.0%	Redu	ction \$375
TOTAL AND	Before Extraordinary	e NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/		
	Ordinary	X		
	N/A	(mark with x)		
		ne Respondent returned to o		
HILLIAN	Notes	re respondent returned to a 2014		
	<u>La</u>			
,			Violation Sub	total \$3,375
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation		Ctatutame Limit To	
		**************************************	Statutory Limit Tes	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$105	Violation Final Penalty 1	otal \$4,125
		This violation Final Asse	ssed Penalty (adjusted for lin	nits) \$4,125

of Amherst 08 01607687 er Quality em Cost Date Required commas or \$	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Percent Interest 5.0 Onetime Costs \$0 \$0 \$0	Years of Depreciation 15 EB Amount
01607687 er Quality em Cost Date Required	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	5.0 Onetime Costs	Depreciation 15 EB Amount
er Quality em Cost Date Required	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	5.0 Onetime Costs	Depreciation 15 EB Amount
em Cost Date Required	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	5.0 Onetime Costs	Depreciation 15 EB Amount
	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0	
	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0		40
	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0		40
	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0		<u>*^</u>
	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0		
	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0	30	\$0 \$0
	0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		\$0	\$0 \$0
	0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00		\$0	\$0
	0.0	0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
	0.0		\$0	n/a	\$0
		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
			\$0	n/a	\$0
		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
	0.0	0.00	\$0		\$0
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided of	a coata neigie ente		\$0	\$0	\$0
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided c		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided of	0.0	0.00		\$0	\$0
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided of	0.0		\$0		
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided o	0.0	0.00	·	\$0	\$0
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided c	0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
	0.0	0.00	·	\$0 \$0 \$75	\$0 \$0 \$83
		See the second delayed cost in the E	See the second delayed cost in the Economic ALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering 0.00	See the second delayed cost in the Economic Benefit Worksh ALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except to 10.00 \$0	See the second delayed cost in the Economic Benefit Worksheet for Violation No. ALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoid 0.00 \$0 \$0

EFFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE	
City of Amherst	
TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001	
Docket No. 2014-10	58-MWD-E
Violation Dates	pH max. conc.
	Limit = 9.0 s.u.
January 17, 2013	9.1
February 14, 2013	9.1
March 6, 2013	9.4
April 11, 2013	9.2
May 8, 2013	9.8
June 11, 2013	9.2
July 10, 2013	9.3
August 12, 2013	9.1
May 5, 2014	9.2

s.u. = standard units

max. = maximum

conc. = concentration

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600736508, RN101607687, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent,

CN600736508, City of Amherst

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 11.04

or Owner/Operator: Regulated Entity:

RN101607687, CITY OF AMHERST WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 14.29

Complexity Points:

Repeat Violator:

08 - Sewage Treatment Facilities

CH Group: Location:

Located northeast of Amherst, approximately 0.5 mile east and 0.75 mile north of the intersection of Farm-to-

Market Road 37 and First Street in Lamb County, Texas

TCEQ Region:

REGION 02 - LUBBOCK

ID Number(s):

WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0010118001

WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE WQ0010118001

Compliance History Period:

September 01, 2008 to August 31, 2013

Rating Year: 2013

Rating Date: 09/01/2013

Date Compliance History Report Prepared:

August 26, 2014

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Component Period Selected:

August 26, 2009 to August 26, 2014

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Christopher Bost

Phone (512) 239-4575

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES NO

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

1

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 05/02/2013

ADMINORDER 2012-2134-MWD-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)

Rgmt Prov: Operational Requirement 1. PERMIT

Special Provisions No. 3 PERMIT

Description: Failed to properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control.

Classification: Moderate

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)(1)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Rqmt Prov: Permit Conditions 2.g. PERMIT

Description: Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of wastewater or any other waste.

Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1

January 27, 2010

(787953)

Item 2

June 14, 2010

(826176)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CITY OF AMHERST	§	
RN101607687	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-1058-MWD-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _______, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding the City of Amherst ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility located northeast of Amherst, approximately 0.5 mile east and 0.75 mile north of the intersection of Farm-to-Market Road 37 and First Street in Lamb County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent has discharged municipal wastewater into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about July 14, 2014.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Nine Hundred Thirty-Nine Dollars (\$15,939) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Three Thousand One Hundred Eighty-Seven Dollars (\$3,187) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Two Dollars (\$12,752) shall be conditionally offset by the Respondent's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP").
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. By June 30, 2014, began maintaining a minimum of two feet of freeboard in the effluent holding ponds.
 - b. By July 3, 2014:
 - i. Employed a licensed wastewater treatment facility operator holding a valid Class C license to operate the Facility at least five days per week;
 - ii. Submitted the annual sludge reports for the monitoring periods ending July 31, 2011; July 31, 2012; and July 31, 2013; and
 - iii. Began collecting the required effluent samples and measurements following the final treatment unit.
 - c. By August 15, 2014, updated operational guidance and conducted employee training to ensure that:
 - i. All reporting procedures are properly accomplished for annual sludge reports, in accordance with TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001; and
 - ii. All groundwater monitoring and reporting is conducted, in accordance with TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Special Provisions No. 19.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.

City of Amherst DOCKET NO. 2014-1058-MWD-E Page 3

- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to employ or contract one or more licensed wastewater treatment facility operators or wastewater system operations companies holding a valid license or registration, in violation of Tex. Water Code § 26.0301(a), 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Special Provisions No. 2, as documented during an investigation conducted on June 12, 2014. Specifically, two unlicensed operators were operating the Facility three to four days per week.
- 2. Failed to timely submit the annual sludge reports for the monitoring periods ending July 31, 2011; July 31, 2012; and July 31, 2013 by September 1 of each year, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and (17) and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Sludge Provisions, as documented during an investigation conducted on June 12, 2014.
- 3. Failed to collect the required effluent samples and measurements after the final treatment unit, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 305.125(1) and 319.5(a) and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. B, as documented during an investigation conducted on June 12, 2014. Specifically, the Respondent has been collecting effluent samples and measurements following the second effluent holding pond since July 19, 2012, which is not the final treatment unit.
- 4. Failed to comply with permitted effluent limits, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)(1), 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. A, as documented during an investigation conducted on June 12, 2014 and shown in the table below:

EFFLUENT VIOLATION TABLE		
Violation Dates	pH max. conc.	
	Limit = 9.0 s.u.	
January 17, 2013	9.1	
February 14, 2013	9.1	
March 6, 2013	9.4	
April 11, 2013	9.2	
May 8, 2013	9.8	
June 11, 2013	9.2	
July 10, 2013	9.3	
August 12, 2013 *	9.1	
May 5, 2014	9.2	

s.u. = standard units

max. = maximum

conc. = concentration

- 5. Failed to maintain a minimum freeboard of two feet in accordance with 30 Texas Administrative Code ch. 217, Design Criteria for Domestic Wastewater Systems, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 217.207(d)(5) and 305.125(1) and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Special Provisions No. 7, as documented during an investigation conducted on June 12, 2014. Specifically, a minimum freeboard of two feet was not being maintained in the Facility's effluent holding ponds.
- 6. Failed to properly conduct and report groundwater monitoring, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 305.125(1) and TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, Special Provisions No. 19, as documented during an investigation conducted on June 12, 2014. Specifically, groundwater sampling records indicated that groundwater samples were collected on June 7, 2011; October 9, 2012; August 29, 2013; and February 25, 2014, which reflected that the groundwater wells were not being sampled every six months as required. In addition, the groundwater well water levels were not included as part of the collected data. Additionally, none of this data was submitted to the TCEQ Lubbock Regional Office as required.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: City of Amherst, Docket No. 2014-1058-MWD-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall implement and complete a SEP in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Two Dollars (\$12,752) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the Respondent implements the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The Respondent's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
- 3. It is further ordered that, within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent shall submit written certification of compliance with the permitted effluent limits of TCEQ Permit No. WQ0010118001, including specific corrective actions that were implemented at the Facility to achieve compliance and copies of the most current effluent monitoring reports, demonstrating at least three consecutive months of compliance with all permitted effluent limits. The written certification of compliance shall include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance, shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public, and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Lubbock Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 5012 50th Street, Suite 100 Lubbock, Texas 79414-3421

- 4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 5. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

City of Amherst DOCKET NO. 2014-1058-MWD-E Page 7

- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 9. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Porm Nouve T For the Executive Director	117/16 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of do agree to the terms and conditions specified the accepting payment for the penalty amount, is made	the entity indicated below my signature, and I nerein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
additional penalties, and/or attorney feesIncreased penalties in any future enforce	may result in: ; ns submitted; eral's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, s, or to a collection agency; ment actions; al's Office of any future enforcement actions; oy law.
Jac a Miller Signature	/~ 3 /^ 15 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of City of Amherst	1 ~ 2 / ~ (5 ~ Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2014-1058-MWD-E SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	City of Amherst
Penalty Amount:	Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Two Dollars (\$12,752)
SEP Offset Amount:	Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty-Two Dollars (\$12,752)
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Pre-Approved SEP
Third-Party Administrator:	Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.
Project Name:	Cleanup of Unauthorized Trash Dumpsites
Location of SEP:	Lamb County - Brazos River Basin, Ogallala Aquifer

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

a. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to the **Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")** for the *Cleanup of Unauthorized Trash Dumpsites*. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, the SEP Offset Amount will be used to coordinate with city and county government officials and private entities ("Partner Entities") to clean up sites where trash, tires, or other materials have been illegally disposed of (the "Project").

The Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that collected debris and waste is properly transported to and disposed of at an authorized disposal facility. If a licensed hauler is needed for tires or other waste collected from sites, the Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that only properly licensed haulers are used for transport and disposal of tires and other waste. The SEP will be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

All dollars contributed will be used solely for the direct cost of the Project, including but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not able to be spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being contributed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

b. Environmental Benefit

This SEP shall rid communities of the dangers and health threats associated with non-regulated dumping and will provide removal of waste that contaminates air, soil, and water, and harbors disease-carrying animals and insects.

c. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

The Respondent shall begin making twelve monthly contributions to the Third Party Administrator on this payment schedule: the first monthly payment of \$1,752 followed by eleven payments of \$1,000 each, totaling \$12,752. The first installment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. Subsequent payments shall be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. A copy of this Agreed Order shall be included each month with the donation. The SEP contributions shall be made payable to "Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc." and mailed to the address below:

Texas Association of RC&D Areas, Inc. Attn.: Jerry Pearce, Executive Director P.O. Box 2533 Victoria, Texas 77902

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the Enforcement SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

> Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount, as described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the Project, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP; shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;" and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP and/or project, made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.